Dimension, Entropy Rates, and Compression

John M. Hitchcock [1]

Department of Computer Science
University of Wyoming

N. V. Vinodchandran [2]

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Abstract

This paper develops new relationships between resource-bounded dimension, entropy rates, and compression. New tools for calculating dimensions are given and used to improve previous results about circuit-size complexity classes.

Approximate counting of SpanP functions is used to prove that the NP-entropy rate is an upper bound for dimension in Δ_3^{E}, the third level of the exponential-time hierarchy. This general result is applied to simultaneously improve the results of Mayordomo (1994) on the measure on P/poly in Δ_3^{E} and of Lutz (2000) on the dimension of exponential-size circuit complexity classes in ESPACE.

Entropy rates of efficiently rankable sets, sets that are optimally compressible, are studied in conjunction with time-bounded dimension. It is shown that rankable entropy rates give upper bounds for time-bounded dimensions. We use this to improve results of Lutz (1992) about polynomial-size circuit complexity classes from resource-bounded measure to dimension.

Exact characterizations of the effective dimensions in terms of Kolmogorov complexity rates at the polynomial-space and higher levels have been established, but in the time-bounded setting no such equivalence is known. We introduce the concept of polynomial-time superranking as an extension of ranking. We show that superranking provides an equivalent definition of polynomial-time dimension. From this superranking characterization we show that polynomial-time Kolmogorov complexity rates give a lower bound on polynomial-time dimension.

Email addresses: jhitchco@cs.uwyo.edu (John M. Hitchcock), vinod@cse.unl.edu (N. V. Vinodchandran).

[1] Part of this research was done while this author was visiting the University of Nebraska-Lincoln. This research was supported in part by NSF grant 0515313.

[2] Research supported in part by NSF grant CCF-0430991 and University of Nebraska Layman

1 Introduction

Effective fractal dimension [29,30] is an extension of Hausdorff dimension that provides new measures of complexity for classes of decision problems. The fractal dimension of a class can now be measured relative to a variety of levels of effectivization including finite-state, polynomial-time, polynomial-space, computable, and constructive bounds. These effective dimensions have interesting relationships with other measures of complexity including compressibility [30,33,7,24], unpredictability [9,15], and entropy rates [18]. Applications to circuit complexity [29,19] and many other aspects of computational complexity have been given by several authors (see [1,31,20]).

For resource-bounds at polynomial-space and above, exact characterizations of the effective dimensions in terms of Kolmogorov complexity [30,33,14] and entropy rates [18], two different notions of compressibility, are known. For example, we have

$$\dim_{\mathrm{pspace}}(X) = \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{PSPACE}}(X) = \mathcal{KS}^{\mathrm{poly}}(X)$$

for any class X, where $\dim_{\mathrm{pspace}}$ is the polynomial-space dimension, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{PSPACE}}$ is the PSPACE-entropy rate, and $\mathcal{KS}^{\mathrm{poly}}$ is a quantity defined using polynomial-space-bounded Kolmogorov complexity. (Definitions are given in the body of the paper.) At the polynomial-time level the equivalence proofs break down because it is not possible to perform an exponential search. This leaves us with $\dim_{\mathrm{p}}$, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{P}}$, and $\mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{poly}}$ – dimension, entropy, and compression – as three possibly different measures of complexity at the polynomial-time level. We study these quantities and several related notions. Our results yield improvements of prior results about the resource-bounded measure and dimension of circuit-size complexity classes.

We find the NP-entropy rate $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{NP}}$ to be particularly useful for Boolean circuit-size complexity classes. For any X, we have

$$\dim_{\mathrm{pspace}}(X) \leq \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{NP}}(X) \leq \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{P}}(X) \leq \dim_{\mathrm{p}}(X).$$

Let $\mathrm{SIZE}(s(n))$ be the class of all languages that can be decided by nonuniform families of Boolean circuits of size at most $s(n)$. Lutz [29] used a polynomial-space counting technique to show that

$$\dim\left(\mathrm{SIZE}\left(\alpha\frac{2^n}{n}\right)\middle|\,\mathrm{ESPACE}\right) = \dim_{\mathrm{pspace}}\left(\mathrm{SIZE}\left(\alpha\frac{2^n}{n}\right)\right) = \alpha \tag{1.1}$$

for every $\alpha \in [0,1]$. Mayordomo [32] used Stockmeyer's approximate counting (in polynomial time with a Σ_2^{P}-oracle) of #P functions to prove that P/poly has resource-bounded measure 0 in the third level of the exponential-time hierarchy:

$$\mu(\mathrm{P/poly} \mid \Delta_3^{\mathrm{E}}) = \mu_{\Delta_3^{\mathrm{P}}}(\mathrm{P/poly}) = 0. \tag{1.2}$$

Award.

In section 5 we strengthen (1.1) to Δ_3^{p}-dimension:

$$\dim\left(\mathrm{SIZE}\left(\alpha\frac{2^n}{n}\right)\middle|\,\Delta_3^{\mathrm{E}}\right) = \dim_{\Delta_3^{\mathrm{p}}}\left(\mathrm{SIZE}\left(\alpha\frac{2^n}{n}\right)\right) = \alpha. \tag{1.3}$$

As a corollary, (1.3) implies that

$$\dim(\mathrm{P/poly} \mid \Delta_3^{\mathrm{E}}) = \dim_{\Delta_3^{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathrm{P/poly}) = 0,$$

improving (1.2). Our proof of (1.3) comes in two steps. First we show that the NP-entropy rate is also α:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{NP}}\left(\mathrm{SIZE}\left(\alpha\frac{2^n}{n}\right)\right) = \alpha.$$

We then use approximate counting of SpanP functions by Köbler, Schöning, and Toran [22] to prove a general theorem that

$$\dim_{\Delta_3^{\mathrm{p}}}(X) \leq \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{NP}}(X)$$

for any class X. The use of SpanP functions rather than #P functions is crucial in our proof. We are able to get a much stronger result than (1.2) because using a SpanP function yields much greater precision. Before taking the approximation we are able to get an exact count and avoid a large amount of overcounting that happens with the #P function in Mayordomo's proof.

Köbler and Lindner [21] considered the measure of P/poly in the second level of the exponential hierarchy. They used pseudorandom generators and results of [27,5] to show that

$$\mu_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{NP}) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \mu(\mathrm{P/poly} \mid \Delta_2^{\mathrm{EXP}}) = 0. \tag{1.4}$$

In section 6, we use recent work of Shaltiel and Umans [36] on derandomization for approximate counting to improve (1.4) to a dimension result:

$$\mu_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{NP}) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \left[\dim(\mathrm{P/poly} \mid \Delta_2^{\mathrm{E}}) = \dim_{\Delta_2^{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathrm{P/poly}) = 0\right].$$

We also establish an analogous conditional improvement of (1.3).

Two other results in resource-bounded measure besides (1.2) and (1.4) regarding P/poly were proved by Lutz [26]. He showed that

$$\mu(\mathrm{SIZE}(n^k) \mid \mathrm{EXP}) = \mu_{\mathrm{p}_2}(\mathrm{SIZE}(n^k)) = 0$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and that $\mu_{\mathrm{p}_3}(\mathrm{P/poly}) = 0$. In section 7, we improve these results from measure 0 to dimension 0. Our proof of this uses general tools that we develop involving rankable [10] and printable [13,4] sets. For example, we show that the p-rankable-entropy rate is an upper bound on p-dimension: for any X,

$$\dim_{\mathrm{p}}(X) \leq \mathcal{H}_{\text{p-rankable}}(X). \tag{1.5}$$

Following a preliminary version of this paper, Gu [11] considered the dimensions of some infinitely-often circuit-complexity classes. We use (1.5) to further examine infinitely-often classes in section 8.

In section 9 we investigate p-dimension and polynomial-time Kolmogorov complexity. As mentioned above, at higher levels of complexity exact characterizations of the resource-bounded dimensions in terms of Kolmogorov complexity have been established, but in the time-bounded setting no such equivalence is known. We introduce the concept of polynomial-time superranking and use it to give an equivalent definition of polynomial-time dimension. From this we show that $\mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{poly}}(X) \leq \dim_{\mathrm{p}}(X)$ for any X.

After the preliminaries in section 2, we review resource-bounded measure and dimension in section 3 and entropy rates in section 4. Sections 5-9 contain our results and section 10 concludes with a brief summary.

2 Preliminaries

The set of all finite binary strings is $\{0,1\}^*$. The empty string is denoted by λ. We use the standard enumeration of binary strings $s_0 = \lambda, s_1 = 0, s_2 = 1, s_3 = 00, \ldots$. For two strings $x, y \in \{0,1\}^*$, we say $x \leq y$ if x precedes y in the standard enumeration and $x < y$ if x precedes y and is not equal to y. We write $x - 1$ for the predecessor of x in the standard enumeration. We use the notation $x \sqsubseteq y$ to say that x is a prefix of y. The length of a string $x \in \{0,1\}^*$ is denoted by $|x|$.

All *languages* (decision problems) in this paper are encoded as subsets of $\{0,1\}^*$. For a language $A \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$, we define $A_{\leq n} = A \cap \{0,1\}^{\leq n}$ and $A_{=n} = A \cap \{0,1\}^n$.

The *Cantor space* of all infinite binary sequences is $\mathbf{C}$. We routinely identify a language $A \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$ with the element of Cantor space that is A's characteristic sequence according to the standard enumeration of binary strings. In this way each complexity class is identified with a subset of Cantor space. We write $A \upharpoonright n$ for the n-bit prefix of the characteristic sequence of A, and $A[n]$ for the n^{th}-bit of its characteristic sequence.

We use log for the base 2 logarithm.

Our definitions of most complexity classes are standard. We use DEC for the class of decidable languages and CE for the class of computably enumerable languages. For any function $s : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, SIZE($s(n)$) is the class of all languages A where for all sufficiently large n, $A_{=n}$ can be decided by a circuit with no more than $s(n)$ gates.

As in [26,29], we use Δ to represent a class of functions computable within a *resource bound*. The Δ used in this paper are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{all} &= \{f \mid f : \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}^*\} \\
\text{comp} &= \{f \mid f \text{ is computable}\} \\
\text{pspace} &= \{f \mid f \text{ is computable in } n^{O(1)} \text{ space}\} \\
\text{p} = \text{p}_1 &= \{f \mid f \text{ is computable in } n^{O(1)} \text{ time}\} \\
\text{p}_2 &= \{f \mid f \text{ is computable in } 2^{(\log n)^{O(1)}} \text{ time}\} \\
\text{p}_3 &= \{f \mid f \text{ is computable in } 2^{2^{(\log\log n)^{O(1)}}} \text{ time}\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $k \geq 2$ the relativized class $\Delta_k^{\text{p}} = \text{p}^{\Sigma_{k-1}^{\text{P}}}$. We also define the complexity classes $\text{P}_1 = \text{P}$, $\text{P}_2 = \text{DTIME}(2^{(\log n)^{O(1)}})$, and $\text{P}_3 = \text{DTIME}(2^{2^{(\log\log n)^{O(1)}}})$.

A real-valued function $f : \{0,1\}^* \to [0,\infty)$ is Δ-computable if there is a function $\hat{f} : \mathbb{N} \times \{0,1\}^* \to [0,\infty)$ such that $|\hat{f}(n,w) - f(w)| \leq 2^{-n}$ for all n and w and $\hat{f} \in \Delta$ (where n is encoded in unary). We say that f is *exactly* Δ*-computable* if $f : \{0,1\}^* \to \mathbb{Q}$ and $f \in \Delta$.

Associated with each resource bound Δ is a complexity class $R(\Delta)$. We refer to [26,29] for the general definition that involves functions called *constructors*. For the Δ we use in this paper, $R(\Delta)$ is as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(\text{all}) &= \mathbf{C} \\
R(\text{comp}) &= \text{DEC} \\
R(\text{pspace}) &= \text{ESPACE} = \text{DSPACE}(2^{\text{linear}}) \\
R(\text{p}) &= \text{E} = \text{DTIME}(2^{\text{linear}}) \\
R(\text{p}_2) &= \text{EXP} = \text{DTIME}(2^{\text{polynomial}}) \\
R(\text{p}_3) &= \text{E}_3 = \text{DTIME}(2^{\text{quasipolynomial}}) \\
R(\Delta_k^{\text{p}}) &= \Delta_k^{\text{E}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here for each $k \geq 1$, $\Delta_k^{\text{E}} = \text{E}^{\Sigma_{k-1}^{\text{P}}}$ is a class in the exponential-time hierarchy.

3 Resource-Bounded Measure and Dimension

In this section we review the basics of resource-bounded measure [26] and dimension [29]. More background is available in the survey papers [28,34,31].

Definition.

(1) A *martingale* is a function $d : \{0,1\}^* \to [0,\infty)$ such that for all $w \in \{0,1\}^*$,

$$d(w) = \frac{d(w0) + d(w1)}{2}.$$

(2) Let $s \in [0,\infty)$. An *s-gale* is a function $d : \{0,1\}^* \to [0,\infty)$ such that for all $w \in \{0,1\}^*$,

$$d(w) = \frac{d(w0) + d(w1)}{2^s}.$$

Note that a martingale is a 1-gale. The sequences on which martingales and gales attain unbounded value is a central concept in resource-bounded measure and dimension.

Definition. Let $d : \{0,1\}^* \to [0,\infty)$.

(1) Let $S \in \mathbf{C}$. We say that d *succeeds on* S if

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} d(S\upharpoonright n) = \infty.$$

(2) The *success set* of d is $S^\infty[d] = \{S \in \mathbf{C} \mid d \text{ succeeds on } S\}$.

We can now define resource-bounded measure [26], resource-bounded dimension [29], and constructive dimension [30]. In the following definition Δ can be any of the resource bounds defined in section 2.

Definition. Let Δ be a resource bound and let $X \subseteq \mathbf{C}$.

(1) X has *Δ-measure 0*, and we write $\mu_\Delta(X) = 0$, if there is a Δ-computable martingale d with $X \subseteq S^\infty[d]$.
(2) X has *measure 0 in* $R(\Delta)$, and we write $\mu(X \mid R(\Delta)) = 0$, if $\mu_\Delta(X \cap R(\Delta)) = 0$.
(3) The *Δ-dimension of X* is

$$\dim_\Delta(X) = \inf\left\{ s \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l}\text{there is a } \Delta\text{-computable} \\ s\text{-gale } d \text{ with } X \subseteq S^\infty[d]\end{array} \right\}.$$

(4) The *dimension of X in $R(\Delta)$* is $\dim(X \mid R(\Delta)) = \dim_\Delta(X \cap R(\Delta))$.
(5) The *constructive dimension of X* is

$$\mathrm{cdim}(X) = \inf\left\{ s \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l}\text{there is a lower semicomputable} \\ s\text{-gale } d \text{ with } X \subseteq S^\infty[d]\end{array} \right\}.$$ [3]

For the case $\Delta = \text{all}$, μ_{all} is equivalent to Lebesgue measure [40] and $\dim_{\text{all}}$ is equivalent to Hausdorff dimension [29]. The following theorem states some of the key properties of resource-bounded measure and dimension.

Theorem 3.1 (Lutz [26,29]) *Let Δ, Δ' be resource bounds and let $X \subseteq \mathbf{C}$.*

(1) $\mu_\Delta(R(\Delta)) \neq 0$.

[3] The definition of constructive dimension given here is not the original one but was shown equivalent by Fenner [8] and Hitchcock [16].

(2) $\dim_\Delta(X) \in [0,1]$.
(3) If $\dim_\Delta(X) < 1$, *then* $\mu_\Delta(X) = 0$.
(4) If $\Delta \subseteq \Delta'$ *and* $\mu_\Delta(X) = 0$, *then* $\mu_{\Delta'}(X) = 0$.
(5) If $\Delta \subseteq \Delta'$, *then* $\dim_{\Delta'}(X) \leq \dim_\Delta(X)$.

Resource-bounded dimension admits an equivalent definition in terms of resource-bounded unpredictability in the log-loss model [15]. In [17], this characterization was restated in a useful way involving the log-loss of measures.

Definition. A *submeasure* is a function $\rho : \{0,1\}^* \to [0,\infty)$ such that for all $w \in \{0,1\}^*$,

$$\rho(w) \geq \rho(w0) + \rho(w1). \tag{3.1}$$

If equality holds in (3.1) for all $w \in \{0,1\}^*$, then ρ is a *measure*.

(1) Let $S \in \mathbf{C}$. The *log-loss rate* of ρ on S is

$$\mathcal{L}^{\log}(\rho, S) = \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{-\log \rho(S \upharpoonright n)}{n}.$$

(2) Let $X \subseteq \mathbf{C}$. The *worst case log-loss rate* of ρ on X is

$$\mathcal{L}^{\log}(\rho, X) = \sup_{S\in X} \mathcal{L}^{\log}(\rho, S).$$

Theorem 3.2 (Hitchcock [15,17]) *Let* Δ *be a resource bound. For any* $X \subseteq \mathbf{C}$,

$$\dim_\Delta(X) = \inf\left\{ \mathcal{L}^{\log}(\rho, X) \,\middle|\, \rho \in \Delta \text{ is a submeasure} \right\}.$$

Equality still holds when the infimum is taken over exactly Δ*-computable measures* ρ.

4 Entropy Rates

In this section we review entropy rates of languages and their relationship to dimension. The following concept dates back to Chomsky and Miller [6] and Kuich [23].

Definition. Let $A \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$. The *entropy rate of* A is

$$H_A = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log |A_{=n}|}{n}.$$

Intuitively, H_A gives an asymptotic measurement of the amount by which every string in $A_{=n}$ is compressed in an optimal code.

Definition. Let $A \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$. The *i.o.-class of* A is

$$A^{\text{i.o.}} = \{S \in \mathbf{C} \mid (\exists^\infty n) S \upharpoonright n \in A\}.$$

That is, $A^{\text{i.o.}}$ is the class of sequences that have infinitely many prefixes in A. The name *δ-limit of A* and notation A^{δ} have also been used for $A^{\text{i.o.}}$ [37,38].

Definition. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a class of languages and $X \subseteq \mathbf{C}$. The *$\mathcal{C}$-entropy rate* of X is

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) = \inf\{H_A \mid A \in \mathcal{C} \text{ and } X \subseteq A^{\text{i.o.}}\}.$$

Informally, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ is the lowest entropy rate with which every element of X can be covered infinitely often by a language in $\mathcal{C}$.

For all $X \subseteq \mathbf{C}$, classical results (see [35,37]) imply

$$\dim_{\text{H}}(X) = \mathcal{H}_{\text{ALL}}(X),$$

where ALL is the class of all languages and $\dim_{\text{H}}$ is Hausdorff dimension. Using other classes of languages gives equivalent definitions of the constructive, computable, and polynomial-space dimensions.

Theorem 4.1 (Hitchcock [18,14]) *For all $X \subseteq \mathbf{C}$,*

$$\text{cdim}(X) = \mathcal{H}_{\text{CE}}(X), \ \dim_{\text{comp}}(X) = \mathcal{H}_{\text{DEC}}(X), \ \textit{and } \dim_{\text{pspace}}(X) = \mathcal{H}_{\text{PSPACE}}(X).$$

For time-bounded dimension no analogous result is known. However, the following upper bound is true.

Lemma 4.2 (Hitchcock [18,14]) *For all $X \subseteq \mathbf{C}$,*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{P}_i}(X) \leq \dim_{\text{p}_i}(X).$$

Proof. Let $s > \dim_{\text{p}_i}(X)$ such that 2^s is rational. It suffices to show $\mathcal{H}_{\text{P}_i}(X) \leq s$. By Theorem 3.2 there is an exactly p_i-computable measure ρ with $\mathcal{L}^{\log}(\rho, S) < s$ for all $S \in X$. Define $A = \{w \mid \rho(w) \geq 2^{-s|w|}\}$. Then $A \in \text{P}_i$ and $X \subseteq A^{\text{i.o.}}$. Since ρ is a measure, $|A_{=n}| \leq 2^{sn}\rho(\lambda)$ for all n, so $H_A \leq s$. Therefore $\mathcal{H}_{\text{P}_i}(X) \leq s$. □

We will consider $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}$ for other complexity classes $\mathcal{C}$ including NP and the p-rankable sets. The following proposition shows that if $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies mild restrictions, then $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}$ gives a reasonable notion of an effective dimension with many of the standard properties of the usual effective dimensions.

Proposition 4.3 *Let $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$ be classes of languages and $X, Y \subseteq \mathbf{C}$.*

(1) If $X \subseteq Y$, then $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) \leq \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y)$.
(2) If $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$, then $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}(X) \leq \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$.
(3) If $\{0,1\}^* \in \mathcal{C}$, then $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{C}) = 1$ and $0 \leq \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) \leq 1$.
(4) If $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under union, then $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}(X \cup Y) = \max\{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}(X), \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y)\}$.

5 Approximate Counting and Dimension in Δ_3^{E}

Mayordomo [32] used Stockmeyer's approximate counting of #P functions [39] to show that P/poly has measure 0 in the third level of the exponential hierarchy.

Theorem 5.1 (Mayordomo [32])

$$\mu(\mathrm{P/poly} \mid \Delta_3^{\mathrm{E}}) = \mu_{\Delta_3^{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathrm{P/poly}) = 0.$$

Lutz [29] calculated the dimension in ESPACE of some exponential circuit-size complexity classes.

Theorem 5.2 (Lutz [29]) *For all* $\alpha \in [0,1]$,

$$\dim\left(\mathrm{SIZE}\left(\alpha\frac{2^n}{n}\right)\middle|\,\mathrm{ESPACE}\right) = \dim_{\mathrm{pspace}}\left(\mathrm{SIZE}\left(\alpha\frac{2^n}{n}\right)\right) = \alpha.$$

In this section we will improve Theorems 5.1 and 5.2. We first show that the NP-entropy rate is also α for the classes in Theorem 5.2.

Theorem 5.3 *For all* $\alpha \in [0,1]$,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{NP}}\left(\mathrm{SIZE}\left(\alpha\frac{2^n}{n}\right)\right) = \alpha.$$

Proof. Let $\alpha \in [0,1]$ and $s(n) = \alpha\frac{2^n}{n}$. Let

$$A = \{B_{\leq n} \mid (\forall m, \lfloor \log n \rfloor \leq m \leq n) B_{=m} \text{ has a circuit of size at most } s(m)\}.$$

Here we use $B_{\leq n}$ to denote the characteristic string (of length $2^{n+1}-1$) of a language B on strings up to length n. We have $A \in \mathrm{NP}$ and $\mathrm{SIZE}(s(n)) \subseteq A^{\mathrm{i.o.}}$.

Also, for all m, we know from [26] that there are at most $(48es(m))^{s(m)}$ *novel* m-input circuits of size at most $s(m)$. Here a circuit is novel if it does not compute the same function as any circuit of size at most $s(m)$ that precedes it in a lexicographic enumeration. This gives us an upper bound on how many subsets of $\{0,1\}^m$ have a circuit of size at most $s(m)$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned}
\log|A_{\leq 2^{n+1}-1}| &\leq \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \log n \rfloor - 1} 2^m + \sum_{m=\lfloor \log n \rfloor}^{n} \log(48es(m))^{s(m)} \\
&\leq 2^{\log n} + \sum_{m=0}^{n} \log(48es(m))^{s(m)} \\
&= n + \sum_{m=0}^{n} \alpha \frac{2^m}{m}(m - \log m + \log 48e\alpha) \\
&\leq \alpha(2^{n+1} - 1)
\end{aligned}$$

if n is sufficiently large, so $H_A \leq \alpha$. Therefore $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{NP}}(\mathrm{SIZE}(s(n))) \leq \alpha$.

The other inequality follows from Proposition 4.3(2) and Theorems 4.1 and 5.2. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{NP}}(\mathrm{SIZE}(s(n))) &\geq \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{PSPACE}}(\mathrm{SIZE}(s(n))) \\
&= \dim_{\mathrm{pspace}}(\mathrm{SIZE}(s(n))) \\
&= \alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

□

We will make use of SpanP functions to prove a general theorem relating the $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{NP}}$ entropy rate to dimension in Δ_3^{E}. Köbler, Schöning, and Toran [22] introduced SpanP as an extension of #P.

Definition. Let M be a polynomial-time nondeterministic Turing machine that on each computation path either outputs a string or outputs nothing. The SpanP function computed by M is defined as

$$f(x) = \text{number of distinct strings output by } M \text{ on input } x$$

for all $x \in \{0,1\}^*$.

Every #P function is also a SpanP function. Stockmeyer's approximate counting of #P functions in polynomial-time with a Σ_2^{P} oracle extends to SpanP.

Theorem 5.4 (Köbler, Schöning, and Toran [22]) *Let $f \in$ SpanP. Then there is a function $g \in \Delta_3^{\mathrm{P}}$ such that for all n, for all $x \in \{0,1\}^n$,*

$$(1 - 1/n)g(x) \leq f(x) \leq (1 + 1/n)g(x).$$

We now show that the NP-entropy rate is an upper bound for Δ_3^{P}-dimension.

Theorem 5.5 *For all $X \subseteq \mathbf{C}$,*

$$\dim_{\Delta_3^{\mathrm{P}}}(X) \leq \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{NP}}(X).$$

Proof. Let $\alpha > \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{NP}}(X)$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that 2^α, 2^ϵ are rational. Let $A \in \mathrm{NP}$ such that $X \subseteq A^{\text{i.o.}}$ and $H_A < \alpha$. We can assume that $|A_{=n}| \leq 2^{\alpha n}$ for all n. It suffices to show that $\dim_{\Delta_3^{\mathrm{p}}}(X) \leq \alpha + \epsilon$.

For each n and $v \in \{0,1\}^{\leq n}$, let

$$\mathrm{ext}_A(v,n) = |\{v' \in A_{=n} \mid v \sqsubseteq v'\}|$$

be the number of extensions of v in $A_{=n}$. Define a function $f : 0^* \times \{0,1\}^* \to \mathbb{N}$ by

$$f(0^n, v) = \mathrm{ext}_A(v,n).$$

Then $f \in \mathrm{SpanP}$ by the following nondeterministic algorithm.

input $0^n, v$
guess $v' \in \{0,1\}^n$ with $v \sqsubseteq v'$
guess a witness w
if w witnesses that $v' \in A$
  then output v'
  else output nothing

Note that f has the following properties for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- $f(0^n, \lambda) = |A_{=n}| \leq 2^{\alpha n}$.
- $f(0^n, v) = f(0^n, v0) + f(0^n, v1)$ for all $v \in \{0,1\}^{<n}$.
- $f(0^n, v) = 1$ for all $v \in A_{=n}$.

Let $g \in \Delta_3^{\mathrm{p}}$ be the approximation of f from Theorem 5.4. For each n, let $\epsilon_n = \frac{1}{n}$ and define a function ρ_n by

$$\rho_n(v) = \frac{g(0^n, v)}{2^{\alpha n}} \left(\frac{1-\epsilon_n}{1+\epsilon_n}\right)^{|v|}$$

for all $v \in \{0,1\}^{\leq n}$ and $\rho_n(v) = 2^{-(|v|-n)}\rho_n(v \upharpoonright n)$ for all v with $|v| > n$. Using the fact that

$$g(0^n, v0) + g(0^n, v1) \leq \frac{f(0^n, v0) + f(0^n, v1)}{1-\epsilon_n} = \frac{f(0^n, v)}{1-\epsilon_n} \leq g(0^n, v)\frac{1+\epsilon_n}{1-\epsilon_n},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_n(v0) + \rho_n(v1) &= \frac{g(0^n, v0) + g(0^n, v1)}{2^{\alpha n}} \left(\frac{1-\epsilon_n}{1+\epsilon_n}\right)^{|v|+1} \\
&\leq \frac{g(0^n, v)}{2^{\alpha n}} \left(\frac{1-\epsilon_n}{1+\epsilon_n}\right)^{|v|} \\
&= \rho_n(v),
\end{aligned}$$

for all $v \in \{0,1\}^{<n}$, so ρ_n is a submeasure.

Let $v \in A_{=n}$. Then

$$-\log \rho_n(v) = \alpha n - \log g(0^n, v) + n \log \frac{1+\epsilon_n}{1-\epsilon_n},$$

$$g(0^n, v) \geq \frac{f(0^n, v)}{1+\epsilon_n} = \frac{1}{1+\epsilon_n} \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n \log \frac{1+\epsilon_n}{1-\epsilon_n} = 2 \log e,$$

so

$$-\log \rho_n(v) \leq \alpha n + 4$$

if n is sufficiently large.

Define $\rho = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\epsilon n} \rho_n$. Standard techniques show that ρ is Δ_3^{p}-computable. Let $S \in X$. Then $S \in A^{\text{i.o.}}$, so $S \upharpoonright n \in A_{=n}$ for infinitely many n. Therefore

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{-\log \rho_n(S \upharpoonright n)}{n} \leq \alpha.$$

It follows that $\mathcal{L}^{\log}(\rho, S) \leq \alpha + \epsilon$ for all $S \in X$, so $\mathcal{L}^{\log}(\rho, X) \leq \alpha + \epsilon$. By Theorem 3.2 we have that the Δ_3^{p}-dimension of X is at most $\alpha + \epsilon$. □

We can now simultaneously improve Theorems 5.1 and 5.2.

Theorem 5.6 *For all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$,*

$$\dim_{\Delta_3^{\mathrm{p}}}\left(\mathrm{SIZE}\left(\alpha \frac{2^n}{n}\right)\right) = \alpha.$$

Proof. The upper bound is immediate from Theorems 5.3 and 5.5. The lower bound follows from Theorems 5.2 and 4.1. □

Corollary 5.7 $\dim(\mathrm{P/poly} \mid \Delta_3^{\mathrm{E}}) = \dim_{\Delta_3^{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathrm{P/poly}) = 0$.

Next we show that the classes in Theorem 5.6 have dimension α in Δ_3^{E}. This proof is inspired by a technique of Gu [12].

Theorem 5.8 *For all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$,*

$$\dim\left(\mathrm{SIZE}\left(\alpha \frac{2^n}{n}\right) \middle| \Delta_3^{\mathrm{E}}\right) = \alpha.$$

Proof. Let $s(n) = \alpha \frac{2^n}{n}$. We need to show that $\dim_{\Delta_3^{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathrm{SIZE}(s(n)) \cap \Delta_3^{\mathrm{E}}) \geq \alpha$. For this, let $s < t < \alpha$ be rational and let d be an arbitrary Δ_3^{p}-computable s-gale. Assume without loss

of generality that $d(\lambda) = 1$ and d is exactly Δ_3^{p}-computable [29]. It suffices to show that $\mathrm{SIZE}(s(n)) \cap \Delta_3^{\mathrm{E}} \not\subseteq S^\infty[d]$.

We define a language A inductively as follows. Suppose that $A_{<n}$ has already been defined, and let w be the characteristic string of $A_{<n}$. As an inductive hypothesis assume that $d(w) \leq 1$. Define u of length $\lceil t2^n \rceil$ inductively by starting with $u = \lambda$ and repeatedly updating $u := u1$ if $d(wu1) < d(wu0)$, $u := u0$ if $d(wu1) \geq d(wu0)$. Let $v = 0^{2^n - |u|}$. Then for all $u' \sqsubseteq u$,

$$d(wu') \leq 2^{(s-1)|u'|} d(w) \leq 2^{(s-1)|u'|} \leq 1,$$

and for all $v' \sqsubseteq v$,

$$d(wuv') \leq 2^{s|v'|} d(wu) \leq 2^{s|uv'|-|u|} \leq 2^{s2^n - |u|} \leq 1.$$

We let $A_{=n}$ have characteristic string uv.

Since d never gets above 1 on A, we have $A \notin S^\infty[d]$, and by construction $A \in \Delta_3^{\mathrm{E}}$. We only sketch the argument that $A \in \mathrm{SIZE}(s(n))$. Let B_n be the first $\lceil t2^n \rceil$ strings of length n and let f be a mapping of B_n to $\{0,1\}^m$, where $m = \lceil \log \lceil t2^n \rceil \rceil$. Let A'_n be the image of $A_{=n} \cap B_n$ under f. For $\epsilon > 0$ and sufficiently large n, Lupanov's construction [25] yields a circuit L_n of size at most $\frac{2^m}{m}(1+\epsilon)$ for A'_n. We now describe our circuit C_n for $A_{=n}$. First the circuit checks if the input x is in B_n. If $x \notin B_n$, C_n rejects. Otherwise, C_n computes $f(x)$ and applies L_n to $f(x)$. Since checking membership in B_n and computing f can both be done by polynomial-size circuits, C_n can be implemented in fewer than $s(n)$ gates if n is sufficiently large. □

6 Derandomization and Dimension in Δ_2^{E}

Köbler and Lindner used pseudorandom generators to prove that P/poly has measure 0 in the second level of the EXP-hierarchy if NP does not have p-measure 0.

Theorem 6.1 (Köbler and Lindner [21]) *If* $\mu_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{NP}) \neq 0$, *then* $\mu(\mathrm{P/poly} \mid \mathrm{EXP}^{\mathrm{NP}}) = 0$.

We will improve this to dimension 0 in $\Delta_2^{\mathrm{E}} = \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{NP}}$ ($\subseteq \mathrm{EXP}^{\mathrm{NP}}$) under the same hypothesis. For this we will use better approximate counting arising from derandomization. Recall that Stockmeyer [39] showed that #P functions can be approximated in randomized polynomial time with access to an NP oracle. This was extended to SpanP by Köbler, Schöning, and Toran [22].

Shaltiel and Umans [36] showed that under a derandomization assumption, #P functions can be approximated by a deterministic polynomial-time algorithm with nonadaptive access to an NP oracle. Their proof shows how to approximate the acceptance probability of a Boolean circuit. We observe that this proof also goes through for nondeterministic circuits, yielding the following. (For definitions of undefined concepts we refer to [36].)

Theorem 6.2 (Shaltiel and Umans [36]) *If* $\mathrm{E}_{\|}^{\mathrm{NP}}$ *requires exponential-size SV-nondeterministic circuits, then there is a deterministic algorithm that takes as inputs a nondeterministic circuit* C *and a parameter* $\epsilon > 0$*, runs in time polynomial in* $|C|$ *and* $1/\epsilon$ *making nonadaptive queries to an* NP *oracle, and outputs a real number* ρ *such that*

$$(1-\epsilon)\mathrm{Pr}_x[C(x)=1] \leq \rho \leq \mathrm{Pr}_x[C(x)=1].$$

It follows that under the hypothesis of Theorem 6.2, SpanP functions can also be deterministically approximated with an NP oracle.

Corollary 6.3 *If* $\mathrm{E}_{\|}^{\mathrm{NP}}$ *requires exponential-size SV-nondeterministic circuits, then for any function* $f \in \mathrm{SpanP}$ *there is a function* g *computable in polynomial time with nonadaptive access to an* NP *oracle such that for all* n*, for all* $x \in \{0,1\}^n$*,*

$$g(x) \leq f(x) \leq g(x)(1+1/n).$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathrm{SpanP}$ and let M be the nondeterministic polynomial-time machine defining f. We assume that on an input of length n, all outputs of M have length $p(n)$, where p is some polynomial. For any input x, define a nondeterministic circuit C_x that on an input $y \in \{0,1\}^{p(n)}$ simulates M and accepts if M outputs y. Applying Theorem 6.2 with $\epsilon = 1/(n+1)$, we can compute a number ρ_x that is a good approximation of the acceptance probability of C_x. Defining $g(x) = 2^{p(n)}\rho_x$, we have $(1-\frac{1}{n+1})f(x) \leq g(x) \leq f(x)$, which implies the corollary. □

We can use this result to give a conditional improvement to Theorem 5.5.

Theorem 6.4 *If* $\mathrm{E}_{\|}^{\mathrm{NP}}$ *requires exponential-size SV-nondeterministic circuits, then*

$$\dim_{\Delta_2^{\mathrm{p}}}(X) \leq \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{NP}}(X)$$

for all $X \subseteq \mathbf{C}$*.*

Proof. Use the approximation function from Corollary 6.3 in the proof of Theorem 5.5. □

The hypothesis of Theorem 6.4 can also be replaced by an assumption on the complexity of E^{NP} (revisiting the proof of Theorem 6.2), but the above suffices for our purposes. In particular, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 6.5 *If* $\mu_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{NP}) \neq 0$*, then*

$$\dim_{\Delta_2^{\mathrm{p}}}(X) \leq \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{NP}}(X)$$

for all $X \subseteq \mathbf{C}$*.*

Proof. It follows from the proof of Lemma 3.2 in [27] that if $\mu_{\rm p}({\rm NP}) \neq 0$, then ${\rm NE} \subseteq {\rm E}_{\|}^{\rm NP}$ has exponential-size NP-oracle circuit complexity. □

We now have the following extension of Theorem 5.6.

Theorem 6.6 *If $\mu_{\rm p}({\rm NP}) \neq 0$, then*

$$\dim_{\Delta_2^{\rm p}}\left({\rm SIZE}\left(\alpha\frac{2^n}{n}\right)\right) = \alpha$$

for all $\alpha \in [0,1]$.

The improvement of Theorem 6.1 now follows.

Corollary 6.7 *If $\mu_{\rm p}({\rm NP}) \neq 0$, then*

$$\dim_{\Delta_2^{\rm p}}({\rm P/poly}) = \dim({\rm P/poly} \mid \Delta_2^{\rm E}) = \dim({\rm P/poly} \mid \Delta_2^{\rm EXP}) = 0.$$

7 Ranking, Printing, and Time-Bounded Dimension

Lutz [26] proved the following regarding the resource-bounded measure of polynomial-size circuit complexity classes.

Theorem 7.1 (Lutz [26]) *For all $c \geq 1$,*

$$\mu({\rm SIZE}(n^c) \mid {\rm EXP}) = \mu_{{\rm p}_2}({\rm SIZE}(n^c)) = 0$$

and

$$\mu({\rm P/poly} \mid {\rm E}_3) = \mu_{{\rm p}_3}({\rm P/poly}) = 0.$$

In this section we develop some tools involving rankable [10] and printable [13,4] sets for calculating dimensions. These tools will yield a strengthening of Theorem 7.1 from measure 0 to dimension 0.

Definition. Let $A \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$.

(1) A is ${\rm p}_i$-*rankable* if the *ranking function* ${\rm rank}_A(x) = |\{y \in A \mid y \leq x\}|$ is in ${\rm p}_i$.
(2) A is ${\rm p}_i$-*printable* if there is a function $f \in {\rm p}_i$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(0^n)$ lists all strings in $A_{=n}$.

While it is not known if $\dim_{{\rm p}_i}(X) \leq \mathcal{H}_{{\rm P}_i}(X)$ holds in general, we can show that the ${\rm p}_i$-rankable-entropy rate is an upper bound on ${\rm p}_i$-dimension.

Theorem 7.2 *For any $X \subseteq \mathbf{C}$,*

$$\dim_{\mathrm{p}_i}(X) \leq \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{p}_i\text{-rankable}}(X).$$

Proof. We give the proof for $i = 1$; the other cases are entirely analogous. Let $t > s > \mathcal{H}_{\text{p-rankable}}(X)$ with $2^s \in \mathbb{Q}$. Choose $A \in$ p-rankable with $X \subseteq A^{\text{i.o.}}$ and $H_A < s$. It suffices to show that $\dim_{\mathrm{p}}(X) \leq t$.

For each n and $w \in \{0,1\}^{\leq n}$, let

$$\mathrm{ext}_A(w,n) = |\{v \in A_{=n} \mid w \sqsubseteq v\}|$$

be the number of extensions of w in $A_{=n}$. Define

$$\rho_n(w) = \frac{\mathrm{ext}_A(w,n)}{2^{sn}}.$$

For w with $|w| > n$, we let $\rho_n(w) = 2^{-(|w|-n)}\rho_n(w\restriction n)$. Note that for all $w \in \{0,1\}^{\leq n}$,

$$\mathrm{ext}_A(w,n) = \mathrm{rank}_A(w1^{n-|w|}) - \mathrm{rank}_A(w0^{n-|w|} - 1),$$

so $\mathrm{ext}_A(w,n)$ can be computed in time polynomial in n because A is p-rankable. Let $\epsilon \in (0, t-s)$ with $2^\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}$ and define $\rho = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\epsilon n}\rho_n$. Then ρ is a p-computable submeasure. Also, for any $w \in A$, we have $\rho_{|w|}(w) = 2^{-s|w|}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} -\log \rho(w) &\leq -\log 2^{-\epsilon|w|}\rho_{|w|}(w) \\ &= (s+\epsilon)|w| \\ &< t|w|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Theorem 3.2 that $\dim_{\mathrm{p}}(X) \leq \dim_{\mathrm{p}}(A^{\text{i.o.}}) \leq t$. □

The following corollary is enough to show that certain classes have dimension 0.

Corollary 7.3 *For any p_i-printable language A, $\dim_{\mathrm{p}_i}(A^{\text{i.o.}}) = 0$.*

Proof. Since every p_i-printable language A is also p_i-rankable and has $H_A = 0$, the corollary follows from Theorem 7.2. □

We now use the p_i-printable corollary to show that appropriately bounded nonuniform complexity classes have dimension 0.

Theorem 7.4 *For all $c \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{DTIME}(2^{cn})/cn) \\
&= \dim_{\mathrm{p}_2}(\mathrm{DTIME}(2^{n^c})/n^c) \\
&= \dim_{\mathrm{p}_3}(\mathrm{DTIME}(2^{2^{(\log n)^c}})/2^{(\log n)^c}) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $U \in \mathrm{DTIME}(2^{(c+1)n})$ be universal for $\mathrm{DTIME}(2^{cn})$ in the sense that $\mathrm{DTIME}(2^{cn}) = \{U_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ where $U_i = \{x \mid \langle i, x\rangle \in U\}$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$A_i = \{B_{\leq n} \mid (\forall m \leq n)(\exists h_m \in \{0,1\}^{cm})x \in B_{=m} \iff \langle x, h_m\rangle \in U_i\},$$

where $B_{\leq n}$ represents a characteristic string as in the proof of Theorem 5.3. Let

$$A = \{w \mid (\exists i \leq |w|)w \in A_i\}.$$

Then $\mathrm{DTIME}(2^{cn})/cn \subseteq A^{\mathrm{i.o.}}$. Also, A is p-printable by cycling through all possible advice strings. Therefore $\dim_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{DTIME}(2^{cn})/cn) = 0$ follows from Corollary 7.3.

The p_2- and p_3-dimension statements are proved analogously. □

We now improve Theorem 7.1, replacing measure 0 by dimension 0.

Theorem 7.5 *For all $c \geq 1$,*

$$\dim(\mathrm{SIZE}(n^c) \mid \mathrm{EXP}) = \dim_{\mathrm{p}_2}(\mathrm{SIZE}(n^c)) = 0$$

and

$$\dim(\mathrm{P/poly} \mid \mathrm{E}_3) = \dim_{\mathrm{p}_3}(\mathrm{P/poly}) = 0.$$

Proof. Since $\mathrm{SIZE}(s(n)) \subseteq \mathrm{P}/O(s(n)\log s(n))$ for any polynomial $s(n)$, this follows immediately from Theorem 7.4. □

8 Infinitely-Often Classes

For a class $\mathcal{C}$, let

$$\text{io-}\mathcal{C} = \{A \subseteq \{0,1\}^* \mid (\exists B \in \mathcal{C})(\exists^\infty n)A_{=n} = B_{=n}\}$$

be the *io-class of* $\mathcal{C}$. Resource-bounded measure 0 results for nonuniform classes typically also hold for the io-class versions [26]. However, Gu showed the following general lower bound for infinitely-often classes.

Theorem 8.1 (Gu [11]) *For every class $\mathcal{C}$ that contains the empty language $\emptyset$,*

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(\text{io-}\mathcal{C}) \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Following a preliminary version of this paper, Gu [11] calculated the dimensions of the infinitely-often versions of the classes in Theorem 7.5 to be exactly $\frac{1}{2}$; that is, the lower bound in Theorem 8.1 is tight for these classes. We will give another proof of this using Theorem 7.2. We first present an infinitely-often version of Theorem 7.4.

Theorem 8.2 *For all $c \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim_{\mathrm{p}}(\text{io-}[\mathrm{DTIME}(2^{cn})/cn]) \\
&= \dim_{\mathrm{p}_2}(\text{io-}[\mathrm{DTIME}(2^{n^c})/n^c]) \\
&= \dim_{\mathrm{p}_3}(\text{io-}[\mathrm{DTIME}(2^{2^{(\log n)^c}})/2^{(\log n)^c}]) \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is similar to the proof of Theorem 7.4, except here we need to use Theorem 7.2 about rankable entropy rates rather than Corollary 7.3. We focus on the p-dimension case.

Let $U \in \mathrm{DTIME}(2^{(c+1)n})$ be universal for $\mathrm{DTIME}(2^{cn})$ as in the proof of Theorem 7.4. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$A_i = \{B_{\leq n} \mid (\exists h_n \in \{0,1\}^{cn})x \in B_{=n} \iff \langle x, h_n\rangle \in U_i\}.$$

Let

$$A = \{w \mid (\exists i \leq |w|)w \in A_i\}.$$

Then $\text{io-}[\mathrm{DTIME}(2^{cn})/cn] \subseteq A^{\text{i.o.}}$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
\log|A_{=2^{n+1}-1}| &\leq \log\left[\left|\{0,1\}^{2^n-1}\right| \cdot (2^{n+1}-1)2^{cn}\right] \\
&\leq \log 2^{2^n+(c+1)n+1} \\
&= 2^n + (c+1)n + 1,
\end{aligned}$$

so $H_A = \frac{1}{2}$ because

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{2^n + (c+1)n + 1}{2^{n+1}-1} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Finally, we claim that A is p-rankable. We need to be able to compute the rank in $A_{=2^{n+1}-1}$ of a given characteristic string $B_{\leq n}$. As in Corollary 7.3, the set

$$C_n = \{w \in \{0,1\}^{2^n} \mid 0^{2^n-1}w \in A\}$$

of suffixes of strings in $A_{=2^{n+1}-1}$ is polynomial-time printable by cycling through all possible advice strings. This makes computing the rank of $B_{\leq n}$ easy: compute the rank of $B_{=n}$ in C_n and add it to $|C_n|$ times the number of lexicographic predecessors of $B_{<n}$. □

We now have another proof of the dimension upper bounds in Gu's aforementioned theorem. (Gu's proof used relationships between Kolmogorov complexity and circuit-size complexity [2,3].)

Theorem 8.3 (Gu [11]) *For all $c \geq 1$,*

$$\dim(\text{io-SIZE}(n^c) \mid \text{EXP}) = \dim_{\text{p}_2}(\text{io-SIZE}(n^c)) = \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$\dim(\text{io-[P/poly]} \mid \text{E}_3) = \dim_{\text{p}_3}(\text{io-[P/poly]}) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof. Since io-SIZE$(s(n)) \subseteq$ io-[P/$O(s(n) \log s(n))$] for any polynomial $s(n)$, the dimension upper bounds follows immediately from Theorem 8.2. The lower bounds follow from Theorem 8.1. □

Next we turn our attention to the infinitely-often versions of the exponential-size circuit-complexity classes we studied earlier. We have the following in comparison to Theorem 5.6.

Theorem 8.4 *For every $\alpha \in [0,1]$,*

$$\dim_{\Delta_3^{\text{p}}}\left(\text{io-SIZE}\left(\alpha\frac{2^n}{n}\right)\right) = \frac{1+\alpha}{2}.$$

This theorem is immediate from Lemmas 8.5 and 8.6 below, using Theorem 5.5 to establish the upper bound.

Lemma 8.5 *For every $\alpha \in [0,1]$,*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{NP}}\left(\text{io-SIZE}\left(\alpha\frac{2^n}{n}\right)\right) \leq \frac{1+\alpha}{2}.$$

Proof. Define

$$A = \left\{ B_{\leq n} \,\middle|\, B_{=n} \text{ has a circuit of size} \leq \alpha\tfrac{2^n}{n} \right\}.$$

Then $A \in$ NP and io-SIZE$(\alpha\frac{2^n}{n}) \subseteq A^{\text{i.o.}}$. A calculation similar to the one in Theorem 5.3 shows that $H_A = \frac{1+\alpha}{2}$. □

Lemma 8.6 *For every $\alpha \in [0,1]$,*

$$\dim_{\text{H}}\left(\text{io-SIZE}\left(\alpha\frac{2^n}{n}\right)\right) \geq \frac{1+\alpha}{2}.$$

Proof. This proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 5.8 and is also inspired by the same technique of Gu [12].

Let $s(n) = \alpha\frac{2^n}{n}$ and let $s < t < \alpha$. Let $r = \frac{1+s}{2}$ and let d be an arbitrary r-gale. It suffices to show that io-SIZE$(s(n)) \not\subseteq S^\infty[d]$.

We define a language A inductively. Let $A_{\leq 1} = \emptyset$. Assume that $A_{\leq n}$ has been defined. We will extend this to define $A_{\leq 2n}$. Let w be the characteristic string of $A_{\leq n}$. As in the proof of Theorem 5.8, define u of length $2^{2n} - 2^{n+1} + \lceil t2^{2n} \rceil$ so that $d(wu') \leq 2^{(r-1)|u'|}d(w)$ for all $u' \sqsubseteq u$. Let $v = 0^{2^{2n} - \lceil t2^{2n} \rceil}$ and let $A_{\leq 2n}$ have characteristic string wuv. For all $v' \sqsubseteq v$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(wuv') \leq 2^{s|uv'|-|u|}d(w) &\leq 2^{r(2^{2n+1}-2^{n+1})-|u|}d(w) \\ &= 2^{(1+s)2^{2n}-r2^{n+1}-2^{2n}+2^{n+1}-\lceil t2^{2n} \rceil}d(w) \\ &\leq 2^{s2^{2n}+2^{n+1}-\lceil t2^{2n} \rceil}d(w). \end{aligned}$$

When n is sufficiently large, this last multiplier is less than 1. It follows that d is bounded on A, so $A \notin S^\infty[d]$. Also, arguing as in the proof Theorem 5.8, $A_{=n}$ has a circuit of size at most $s(n)$ whenever n is a sufficiently large power of 2, so $A \in$ io-SIZE$(s(n))$. □

9 Superranking and Kolmogorov Complexity

For many sets for which the p-dimension has been calculated it can be shown that an equality actually holds in Theorem 7.2. In this section we show that we always get an equality when a generalization of ranking is used.

9.1 Superranking

Definition. Let $A \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$.

(1) A *superranking function* for A is a function $f : \{0,1\}^* \to \mathbb{N}$ that is nondecreasing (i.e., $f(x) \leq f(x+1)$ for all x) and satisfies $f(x) > f(x-1)$ for all $x \in A$.
(2) The *rate* of a superranking function f is

$$H_f = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log[f(1^n) - f(1^{n-1})]}{n}.$$

(3) The *polynomial-time superranking rate of* A is

$$H_A^* = \inf\{H_f \mid f \in \text{p is a superranking function for } A\}.$$

Intuitively, a superranking function f for A is an overestimate of the ranking function of A. It always increases when rank_A increases, but may increase by an amount larger than 1 and may increase on strings that are not in A.

The quantity $f(1^n) - f(1^{n-1})$ is an upper bound on $|A_{=n}|$. For this reason, we have $H_A \leq H_A^* \leq 1$ for any language A. If A is p-rankable, then $H_A = H_A^*$ because rank_A is a polynomial-time superranking function for A and $H_{\mathrm{rank}_A} = H_A$.

We now use superranking rates to define a variation of the P-entropy rate.

Definition. For any $X \subseteq \mathbf{C}$, define

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{P}}^*(X) = \inf\{H_A^* \mid A \in \mathrm{P} \text{ and } X \subseteq A^{\mathrm{i.o.}}\}.$$

From our observations above, it is clear that

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{P}}(X) \leq \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{P}}^*(X) \leq \mathcal{H}_{\text{p-rankable}}(X)$$

for all $X \subseteq \mathbf{C}$. We now show that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{P}}^*$ is exactly the same as $\dim_{\mathrm{p}}$. Note that this improves Theorem 7.2.

Theorem 9.1 *For any $X \subseteq \mathbf{C}$,*

$$\dim_{\mathrm{p}}(X) = \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{P}}^*(X).$$

Proof. The proof that $\dim_{\mathrm{p}}(X) \leq \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{P}}^*(X)$ is a modification of the proof of Theorem 7.2. Let $t > s > \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{P}}^*(X)$ with $2^s \in \mathbb{Q}$ and take an $A \in \mathrm{P}$ such that $X \subseteq A^{\mathrm{i.o.}}$ and $H_A^* < s$. Then let f be a superranking function for A that satisfies $H_f < s$. Now for any w and n, we can upper bound $\mathrm{ext}_A(w, n)$ by $f(w1^{n-|w|}) - f(w0^{n-|w|} - 1)$. Define the measure $\rho_n(w)$ using this upper bound instead of $\mathrm{ext}_A(w, n)$. Then for any $w \in A$ we have $\rho_{|w|}(w) = [f(w) - f(w-1)]2^{-s|w|} \geq 2^{-s|w|}$. The rest of the proof goes through to show that $\dim_{\mathrm{p}}(X) \leq t$.

For the other inequality, let $s > \dim_{\mathrm{p}}(X)$ such that 2^s is rational. It suffices to show that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{P}}^*(X) \leq s$. Let μ be an exactly polynomial-time computable measure such that for all $S \in X$,

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{-\log \mu(S \upharpoonright n)}{n} < s.$$

We can assume without loss of generality that $\mu(\lambda) = 1$. Letting

$$A = \{w \mid \mu(w) \geq 2^{-sn}\},$$

we have $X \subseteq A^{\text{i.o.}}$. Define $f : \{0,1\}^* \to \mathbb{N}$ by

$$f(w) = \left\lceil 2^{s|w|} \sum_{\substack{|x|=|w| \\ x \le w}} \mu(x) \right\rceil + f(1^{|w|-1}).$$

Then f is a superranking function for A. For all n, $f(1^n) - f(1^{n-1}) = \lceil 2^{sn} \rceil$, so $H_f \le s$. Now we will show that f is polynomial-time computable. Let $I_w = \{(w \upharpoonright i)0 \mid w[i] = 1\}$. Then $x < w$ if and only if x has a prefix in I_w. Using the additivity property of μ, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{|x|=|w| \\ x<w}} \mu(x) = \sum_{y \in I_w} \sum_{\substack{|x|=|w| \\ y \sqsubseteq x}} \mu(x) = \sum_{y \in I_w} \mu(y).$$

Given $f(1^{|w|-1})$, we can therefore compute $f(w)$ using at most $|w|+1$ evaluations of μ on strings no longer than w. This shows that f is polynomial-time computable. Therefore $\mathcal{H}^*_{\text{P}}(X) \le H^*_A \le H_f \le s$. □

We can now give a hypothesis that implies $\mathcal{H}_{\text{P}}$ is equal to $\dim_{\text{p}}$. The plausibility of the hypothesis is not clear.

Corollary 9.2 *If $H_A = H^*_A$ for every $A \in \text{P}$, then $\dim_{\text{p}}(X) = \mathcal{H}_{\text{P}}(X)$ for all $X \subseteq \mathbf{C}$.*

9.2 Kolmogorov Complexity

For a function $r : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ and a string x, let $K(x)$ be the Kolmogorov complexity of x, let $K^r(x)$ be the r-time-bounded Kolmogorov complexity of x, and let $KS^r(x)$ be the r-space-bounded Kolmogorov complexity of x. (Here $K^r(x)$ is the minimum length of a program that causes a universal Turing machine to output x in at most $r(|x|)$ time, and $KS^r(x)$ is defined analogously. Because we will be dividing by $|x|$ in what follows, it is makes no difference if we use plain complexity or prefix-free complexity.) For a sequence $S \in \mathbf{C}$, define

$$\mathcal{K}(S) = \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{K(S \upharpoonright n)}{n}, \quad \mathcal{KS}^r(S) = \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{KS^r(S \upharpoonright n)}{n}, \text{ and } \mathcal{K}^r(S) = \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{K^r(S \upharpoonright n)}{n}.$$

For any $X \subseteq \mathbf{C}$, define

$$\mathcal{K}(X) = \sup_{S \in X} \mathcal{K}(S), \quad \mathcal{KS}^r(X) = \sup_{S \in X} \mathcal{KS}^r(S), \text{ and } \mathcal{K}^r(X) = \sup_{S \in X} \mathcal{K}^r(S).$$

Let poly and comp be the classes of all functions mapping $\mathbb{N}$ to $\mathbb{N}$ that are polynomially-bounded and computable, respectively. For any $X \subseteq \mathbf{C}$, define

$$\mathcal{K}^{\text{poly}}(X) = \inf_{p \in \text{poly}} \mathcal{K}^p(X), \quad \mathcal{KS}^{\text{poly}}(X) = \inf_{p \in \text{poly}} \mathcal{KS}^p(X), \text{ and } \mathcal{KS}^{\text{comp}}(X) = \inf_{r \in \text{comp}} \mathcal{KS}^r(X).$$

Mayordomo [33], building on [30], showed that constructive dimension can be equivalently defined using Kolmogorov complexity.

Theorem 9.3 (Mayordomo [33]) *For any $X \subseteq \mathbf{C}$, $\mathrm{cdim}(X) = \mathcal{K}(X)$.*

This can be extended to the computable and polynomial-space dimensions by imposing computable and polynomial-space constraints on the Kolmogorov complexity.

Theorem 9.4 (Hitchcock [14]) *For any $X \subseteq \mathbf{C}$, $\dim_{\mathrm{comp}}(X) = \mathcal{KS}^{\mathrm{comp}}(X)$ and $\dim_{\mathrm{pspace}}(X) = \mathcal{KS}^{\mathrm{poly}}(X)$.*

It is unknown if $\dim_{\mathrm{p}}(X) = \mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{poly}}(X)$ holds for all X. We can use our superranking characterization of p-dimension to show that one inequality always holds. The following proposition shows that strings in a language A have polynomial-time Kolmogorov complexity that is not much more than the polynomial-time superranking rate of A.

Proposition 9.5 *Let $A \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$ and let $s > H^*_A$. Then there is a polynomial p such that for all but finitely many $x \in A$, $K^p(x) \leq s|x|$.*

Proof. Let $s > r > H^*_A$ and let f be a polynomial-time computable superranking function for A that satisfies $f(1^n) \leq 2^{rn}$ for all sufficiently large n. Then for any $x \in A$ with $|x|$ large enough, $f(x)$ can be represented as a binary string of length at most $r|x|$. Given $f(x)$, we can use binary search to find x. Therefore $K^p(x) \leq r|x| + c \leq s|x|$ holds for all but finitely many $x \in A$, where p is some polynomial and c is some constant. □

We can now sandwich $\mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{poly}}$ between the NP-entropy rate and p-dimension.

Theorem 9.6 *For any $X \subseteq \mathbf{C}$,*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{NP}}(X) \leq \mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{poly}}(X) \leq \dim_{\mathrm{p}}(X).$$

Proof. Let $s > \dim_{\mathrm{p}}(X)$. By Theorem 9.1, let $A \in \mathrm{P}$ such that $X \subseteq A^{\mathrm{i.o.}}$ and $H^*_A < s$. It follows from Proposition 9.5 that $\mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{poly}}(X) \leq \mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{poly}}(A^{\mathrm{i.o.}}) \leq s$. Therefore $\mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{poly}}(X) \leq \dim_{\mathrm{p}}(X)$.

Now let $s > \mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{poly}}(X)$ be rational and let p be a polynomial such that $\mathcal{K}^p(S) < s$ for all $S \in X$. Then the language

$$A = \{x \mid K^p(x) \leq s|x|\}$$

is in NP and satisfies $X \subseteq A^{\mathrm{i.o.}}$. Since $|A_{=n}| \leq 2^{sn+1}$ for all n, we have $H_A \leq s$, so $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{NP}}(X) \leq s$. Therefore $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{NP}}(X) \leq \mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{poly}}(X)$. □

10 Conclusion

We have given several new relationships between resource-bounded dimension, entropy rates, and compression. Now we know that for any $X \subseteq \mathbf{C}$,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \dim_{\text{pspace}}(X) \\ = \\ \mathcal{H}_{\text{PSPACE}}(X) \\ = \\ \mathcal{KS}^{\text{poly}}(X) \end{array} \right\} \leq \dim_{\Delta_3^{\text{p}}}(X) \leq \mathcal{H}_{\text{NP}}(X) \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{H}_{\text{P}}(X), \\ \mathcal{K}^{\text{poly}}(X) \end{array} \right\} \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \dim_{\text{p}}(X) \\ = \\ \mathcal{H}^*_{\text{P}}(X) \end{array} \right\} \leq \mathcal{H}_{\text{p-rankable}}(X).$$

(We do not know of any relationship between $\mathcal{H}_{\text{P}}$ and $\mathcal{K}^{\text{poly}}$.) These results were useful for improving previous results about the resource-bounded measure and dimension of circuit-size complexity classes, and we anticipate that these general tools we have developed will be useful in future work.

Acknowledgments. We thank Fengming Wang and the anonymous referees for helpful comments and suggestion. We thank Xiaoyang Gu for pointing out mistakes in a draft and for very helpful discussions about Theorems 5.8 and 8.4.

References

[1] Effective fractal dimension bibliography. `www.cs.uwyo.edu/~jhitchco/bib/dim.shtml`.

[2] E. Allender. When worlds collide: Derandomization, lower bounds, and Kolmogorov complexity. In *Proceedings of the 21st Conference on Foundations of Software Technology and Theoretical Computer Science*, pages 1–15. Springer-Verlag, 2001.

[3] E. Allender, H. Buhrman, M. Koucký, D. van Melkebeek, and D. Ronneburger. Power from random strings. In *Proceedings of the 43rd IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 669–678. IEEE Computer Society, 2002.

[4] E. Allender and R. Rubinstein. P-printable sets. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 17:1193–1202, 1988.

[5] V. Arvind and J. Köbler. On pseudorandomness and resource-bounded measure. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 255(1–2):205–221, 2001.

[6] N. Chomsky and G. A. Miller. Finite state languages. *Information and Control*, 1:91–112, 1958.

[7] J. J. Dai, J. I. Lathrop, J. H. Lutz, and E. Mayordomo. Finite-state dimension. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 310(1–3):1–33, 2004.

[8] S. A. Fenner. Gales and supergales are equivalent for defining constructive Hausdorff dimension. Technical Report cs.CC/0208044, Computing Research Repository, 2002.

[9] L. Fortnow and J. H. Lutz. Prediction and dimension. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 70(4):570–589, 2005.

[10] A. V. Goldberg and M. Sipser. Compression and ranking. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 20(3):524–536, 1991.

[11] X. Gu. A note on dimensions of polynomial size circuits. Technical Report TR04-047, Electronic Colloquium on Computational Complexity, 2004.

[12] X. Gu. Personal communication, 2005.

[13] J. Hartmanis and Y. Yesha. Computation times of NP sets of different densities. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 34:17–32, 1984.

[14] J. M. Hitchcock. *Effective Fractal Dimension: Foundations and Applications*. PhD thesis, Iowa State University, 2003.

[15] J. M. Hitchcock. Fractal dimension and logarithmic loss unpredictability. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 304(1–3):431–441, 2003.

[16] J. M. Hitchcock. Gales suffice for constructive dimension. *Information Processing Letters*, 86(1):9–12, 2003.

[17] J. M. Hitchcock. Small spans in scaled dimension. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 34(1):170–194, 2004.

[18] J. M. Hitchcock. Correspondence principles for effective dimensions. *Theory of Computing Systems*, 38(5):559–571, 2005.

[19] J. M. Hitchcock, J. H. Lutz, and E. Mayordomo. Scaled dimension and nonuniform complexity. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 69(2):97–122, 2004.

[20] J. M. Hitchcock, J. H. Lutz, and E. Mayordomo. The fractal geometry of complexity classes. *SIGACT News*, 36(3):24–38, September 2005.

[21] J. Köbler and W. Lindner. On the resource bounded measure of P/poly. In *Proceedings of the 13th IEEE Conference on Computational Complexity*, pages 182–185. IEEE Computer Society, 1998.

[22] J. Köbler, U. Schöning, and J. Toran. On counting and approximation. *Acta Informatica*, 26:363–379, 1989.

[23] W. Kuich. On the entropy of context-free languages. *Information and Control*, 16:173–200, 1970.

[24] M. López-Valdés and E. Mayordomo. Dimension is compression. In *Proceedings of the 30th International Symposium on Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 676–685. Springer-Verlag, 2005.

[25] O. B. Lupanov. On the synthesis of contact networks. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 119:23–26, 1958.

[26] J. H. Lutz. Almost everywhere high nonuniform complexity. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 44(2):220–258, 1992.

[27] J. H. Lutz. Observations on measure and lowness for Δ_2^{P}. *Theory of Computing Systems*, 30(4):429–442, 1997.

[28] J. H. Lutz. The quantitative structure of exponential time. In L. A. Hemaspaandra and A. L. Selman, editors, *Complexity Theory Retrospective II*, pages 225–254. Springer-Verlag, 1997.

[29] J. H. Lutz. Dimension in complexity classes. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 32(5):1236–1259, 2003.

[30] J. H. Lutz. The dimensions of individual strings and sequences. *Information and Computation*, 187(1):49–79, 2003.

[31] J. H. Lutz. Effective fractal dimensions. *Mathematical Logic Quarterly*, 51:62–72, 2005.

[32] E. Mayordomo. *Contributions to the study of resource-bounded measure*. PhD thesis, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, 1994.

[33] E. Mayordomo. A Kolmogorov complexity characterization of constructive Hausdorff dimension. *Information Processing Letters*, 84(1):1–3, 2002.

[34] E. Mayordomo. Effective Hausdorff dimension. In *Classical and New Paradigms of Computation and their Complexity Hierarchies (papers of the conference Foundations of the Formal Sciences III)*, volume 23 of *Trends in Logic*, pages 171–186. Kluwer Academic Press, 2004.

[35] C. A. Rogers. *Hausdorff Measures*. Cambridge University Press, 1998. Originally published in 1970.

[36] R. Shaltiel and C. Umans. Pseudorandomness for approximate counting and sampling. In *Proceedings of the 20th IEEE Conference on Computational Complexity*, pages 212–226. IEEE Computer Society, 2005.

[37] L. Staiger. Kolmogorov complexity and Hausdorff dimension. *Information and Computation*, 103:159–94, 1993.

[38] L. Staiger. A tight upper bound on Kolmogorov complexity and uniformly optimal prediction. *Theory of Computing Systems*, 31:215–29, 1998.

[39] L. J. Stockmeyer. On approximation algorithms for #P. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 14:849–861, 1985.

[40] J. Ville. *Étude Critique de la Notion de Collectif*. Gauthier–Villars, Paris, 1939.